	Case 2:03-cv-00813-RSL Document 305 Filed 01/10/06 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
8	
9	THE CITY OF BLAINE, et al.,  ) No. C03-0813L
10	Plaintiffs, )
11	v. ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
12	GOLDER ASSOCIATES, INC., et al.,
13	Defendants. )
14	,
15	This matter comes before the Court on defendant Golder Associates, Inc.'s timely
16	motion for reconsideration. Such motions are disfavored in this district and will be granted only
17	upon a "showing of manifest error in the prior ruling" or "new facts or legal authority which
18	could not have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier without reasonable diligence."
19	Local Civil Rule 7(h)(1). Defendant has not met this burden. The fact that plaintiffs were
20	willing to provide limited information regarding the status of the construction activities in
21	compliance with their on-going discovery obligations does not change the fact that defendant
22	failed to show good cause to reopen discovery in this matter.
23	Defendants' motion for reconsideration is, therefore, DENIED.
24	
25	
26	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

MMS (asnik Robert S. Lasnik

United States District Judge

DATED this 10th day of January, 2006.

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

-2-